

# **Disagreement in Healthcare Responsibility Among Adolescent and Young Adult Solid Organ Transplant Recipients and Caregivers**

Kelly E. Rea, B.A.<sup>1</sup>, Lauren F. Quast, B.S.<sup>1</sup>, Mary Gray Stolz, B.A<sup>1</sup>, Grace Cushman, M.S.<sup>1</sup>, Cyd Eaton, Ph.D.<sup>2</sup>, Ana Gutiérrez-Colina, Ph.D.<sup>3</sup>, Julia LaMotte, M.S.<sup>1</sup>, Laura L. Mee, Ph.D.<sup>4,5</sup>, Chad Mao, M.D.<sup>4,5</sup>, Rouba Garro, M.D.<sup>4,5</sup> Rene Romero, M.D.<sup>4,5</sup>, & Ronald L. Blount, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Georgia, <sup>2</sup>The John Hopkins School of Medicine, <sup>3</sup>Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, <sup>4</sup>Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, <sup>5</sup>Emory University Department of Pediatrics

Results

Agree on prin





### Introduction

- Given increased survivorship following solid organ transplantation, there are more adolescent and young adults (AYA) preparing to transition from pediatric to adult healthcare post-transplant.
- This often involves AYAs transferring healthcare responsibilities from caregivers to AYAs and learning to self-manage their care.
- The period of adolescence is a time of transition for both caregivers and AYAs, can result in discrepancies in perceptions of responsibility.1
- This study examines disagreements in reports of primary responsibility for healthcare tasks among AYA transplant recipients and caregivers, and how these disagreements relate to family functioning and medication adherence.

### Methods

- Participants
- 55 heart, kidney, or liver transplant recipients aged 12-21, and their caregivers.
- Measures
- Readiness for Transition Questionnaire (RTQ)<sup>2</sup>
  - Yes/no, did AYAs and caregivers report same person primarily responsible for healthcare tasks
- Medication Adherence Measure (MAM)<sup>3</sup>
  - Yes/no, did AYAs and caregivers report same person primarily responsible for medication tasks
- Family Adaptability and Cohesion Evaluation Scale (FACES)<sup>4</sup> - Family cohesion and flexibility subscales
- Medication adherence:
- Caregiver and AYA-reported: MAM<sup>3</sup>
- Anti-rejection drug assay levels (Adherent < 2 SD, Non-adherent  $\geq 2$  SD)

### Analyses

- Relations were examined using ANCOVA controlling for AYA age and time since transplant between dyads who
- agree/disagree on outcomes of family func. and adherence. • Chi-Square tests examined the relationship between dyads
- who agree/disagree and who were determined to be adherent/nonadherent by drug assay values.

Sample Demographics (N=55) M(SD) AYA Age (years) 16.84(1.82)Years since 8.15(5.75) transplant

> N Organ group



\$0-\$9.999 3 (5.5) \$10.000-\$24.999 7 (12.7) \$25,000-\$49,999 14 (25,5) \$50,000-\$74,999 10 (18.2)

\$75,000-\$99,999 5 (9.1)

\$100,000 or greater 15 (27.3)

**Outcome: Family Functioning** 

Subsca

Cohesion

ACES

30

25

15

Agree on primary

responsibility

| _            |                           |   |              |       |                                |
|--------------|---------------------------|---|--------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Outo         | come: Medication          | n Adherence   |              |       |                                |
|              |                           | Agree on Prim Disagree on Prim<br>Responsibility Responsibility |              |       | Agree on Med<br>Responsibility |
|              |                           | Mean (SD)   | Mean (SD)    | F     | Mean (SD)                      |
| Care<br>adhe | giver-reported<br>rence   |   |              |       |                                |
|              | % of AR Meds Missed       | 1.16 (7.05)   | 0.63 (2.46)  | 0.02  | 1.64 (7.10)                    |
|              | % of AR Meds Late         | 5.19 (10.19)  | 1.78 (4.91)  | 1.25  | 2.35 (6.52)                    |
| 9            | 6 of Other Rx Meds Missed | 4.14 (12.88)  | 8.36 (18.51) | 0.26  | 5.23 (14.72)                   |
|              | % of Other Rx Meds Late   | 2.35 (6.29)   | 1.24 (5.67)  | 0.00  | 0.60 (2.11)                    |
|              | % of non-Rx Meds Missed   | 12.70 (32.51)   | 3.57 (10.48) | 0.47  | 7.76 (22.93)                   |
|              | % of non-Rx Meds Late     | 0.35 (1.27)   | 3.33 (8.99)  | 5.50* | 0.62 (1.64)                    |

471(1004)

4.97 (12.01)

1.43 (3.47)

3.02 (8.82)

30

25

20

15

10 ACES

3

% of AR Meds Late

% of non-Ry Meds Missed 847 (26 95)

% of Other Rx Meds Missed

% of Other Rx Meds Late

% of non-Ry Meds Late

Disagree on primary

responsibility

Reported Family Cohesion x Dyads who agreed or

disagreed on primary responsibility

#### 6.49 (11.27) 2.10 5.88 (15.56) 0.08 2.04 (5.40) 1.04 14.29 (37.80) 0.14 0.00 (0.00) 1.00 AYA-reported adherence % of AR Meds Missed 1.02 (3.37) 0.45 (1.79) 0.01 0.93 (3.18) 0.06

0.11

1.21

1.19

0.02

0.62

Note. There were no relations between responsibility agreement in Medication Level Variability Index (MLVI)

4.03 (9.68)

5.22 (13.69)

1.13 (3.25)

10 20 (24 55)

1.07 (3.18)

Disagree on primary

responsibility

15.35 (18.68)

3.75 (7.07)

12 50 (35 36)

7.81 (14.91)

4.03\*

2.30

0.03

5 79\*

2 92 (5 69)

12.64 (20.23)

2.23 (6.12)

15.71 (25.62)

1.10 (2.73)

Agree on primary

responsibility

### flexibility, indicating something more complex than just lack of clearly defined roles. Disagree on Med Disagreement was also associated with missed Responsibility prescription medications and late non-prescription Mean (SD) medication taking, indicating a need for providers to

discuss the importance of adherence to the entire 0.00 (0.00) 0.52 medication regimen, rather than selective adherence to anti-rejection medications, particularly during the crucial period of transition. Notably, there were no significant differences in MLVI. 0.68 (2.55) 488 (715) 0.10

## **Future Directions**

Discussion

responsible had significantly lower family cohesion than

understanding perceived responsibility and transition in

• Almost one-third of dvads disagreed on who was

primarily responsible for healthcare tasks.

the context of current family dynamic.

Dyads who disagreed about who was primarily

Notably, disagreement was not related to family

dyads who agreed, highlighting the importance of

- Future research should develop brief interventions aimed at increasing communication regarding responsibility in dyads and promoting effective transition.
- Future research should also examine the relationship between the direction of agreement and disagreement and how this may relate to family functioning and medical outcomes.

### Acknowledgements & References

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the Children's Healthcare of Atlanta Transplant Services staff, and the families who participated in this study. Address correspondence to: Kelly Rea@uga.edu Funding Sources: Children's Healthcare of Atlanta Transplant Services, University of Georgia, APA. <sup>1</sup>Holmbeck, G. N. (1996). A model of family relational transformations during the transition to adolescence: Parent-adolescent conflict and adaptation. In Transitions through adolescence (pp. 167-199). Psychology Press.

<sup>2</sup> Gilleland, I., Amaral, S., Mee, L., & Blount, R. (2011), Getting ready to leave: transition readiness in adolescent kidney transplant recipients. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 37(1), 85-96. doi: 10.1093/inensy/isr049

<sup>3</sup> Zelikovsky, N., & Schast, A. P. (2008). Eliciting accurate reports of adherence in a clinical interview: Development of the medical adherence measure. Pediatric Nursing, 34(2), 141. Retrieved from: http://www.pediatricnursing.net/

<sup>4</sup> Olson, D. H., Gorall, D. M., & Tiesel, J. W. (2006). FACES-IV package: Administration. Minneapolis MN: Life Innovations.

\* *p* < .05, \*\* *p* < .01, \*\*\* *p* < .001

