

This is the Final Manuscript version of an entry titled “Johannes Müller” to appear in the forthcoming *Encyclopedia of Animal Cognition and Behavior*. The entry was invited, has been accepted, and the typeset version that will be available electronically and in print has been provided to the author.

It seems unlikely that the *Encyclopedia* will be published before 2020 or possibly later, so final page numbers will not be known to this author until the electronic and print versions of the entire *Encyclopedia* are available.

March 24, 2019

Roger K, Thomas, PhD

Title of Entry: Müller, Johannes Peter

Author Identification: Roger K. Thomas, Ph.D.

University of Georgia

Athens, GA

rkthomas@uga.edu

Introduction



Johannes Peter Müller, 1801-1858

Johannes Peter Müller was born on July 14, 1801 in Coblenz, Germany, an ancient city in middle Germany. Some well-respected historians spell his surname

“Mueller” (Young, 1990). Müller died on April 28, 1858 in Berlin. Among numerous outstanding achievements during his career, he published several books including the eight books between 1833-1840 that comprised his *Handbuch der Physiologie des Menschen*. The *Handbuch* established Müller as the most renowned physiologist of his time. He made important discoveries in physiology, improved upon the discoveries of others, and mentored some of the most important physiologists/physicists of the 19th century. In his later years, he devoted most of his effort to the study of single-celled marine animals.

Born the son of a shoemaker, Müller was initially destined to have a career in leather work, but his success in the gymnasium (high school) resulted in his father being persuaded to allow him to enroll in the University of Bonn in 1819. In 1822, Müller earned a medical degree based on a study of animal movement, especially arthropods. Müller then spent a year and a half at the University of Berlin where his principal mentor was Carl Rudolphi, Germany’s most eminent anatomist. Müller returned to Bonn initially as a lecturer but eventually as professor. Rudolphi died in 1832. In 1833 Müller was invited to succeed him at the University of Berlin. Müller remained at the University of Berlin until his death from cause unknown; some suggested suicide. Müller spent much of his adult life suffering from depression largely attributed to overwork and to constant financial problems. His financial problems were usually caused by spending too much of his personal income on equipment, etc. needed in his research.

This introduction is based mainly on Steudel (2008), but bits here and there were gathered among References cited below. Because Müller was so eclectic in

his research and information about him is so scattered, most of the entry will be written without citing specific references for each fact or set of facts, but all can be verified among the References at the end of this entry.

Handbuch der Physiologie des Menschen

Among Müller's most memorable achievements was *his Handbook of the Physiology of Men* which was published in eight volumes from 1833-1840. Boring (1950) summarized each book's emphases as follows. Book 1 (288 pages) considered the circulation of blood and lymph. Book 2 (308 pages) considered chemical correlates of respiration, nutrition, growth, reproduction, secretion, digestion, excretion, and chyliification (formation of chyle, which has complex functions in the intestines). Book 3 (270 pages) considered physiology of the nerves. Book 4 (248 pages) considered general muscular movement with special attention to voice and speech. Book 5 (256 pages) began with the formulation of the "doctrine" (Boring, 1950, p. 35) or "law" (Finger, 1994, p. 135) of specific nerve energies (more on this below) before considering the five senses. Book 6 (82 pages) was titled "Of the Mind" and considered association, memory, imagination, thought, feeling, passion, the mind-body relationship problem, phantasms, action, temperament, and sleep. Boring did not differentiate books 7 and 8 (179 pages for both) but noted that they considered reproduction and development, embryonic and postnatal. Boring considered books 3-6 most important for psychology.

The Law of Specific Nerve Energies

Reduced to its essence, specific nerve energies (SNE) means that each sensory nerve can only convey information to the brain that arises from the specific

activation of the associated sensory receptors or nerves. There are corollary SNE associated with muscle activity, but the emphasis here is on the senses. To explain what SNE meant, Anonymous (1911) wrote:

. . . the kind of sensation following stimulation of a sensory nerve does not depend on the mode of stimulation but upon the nature of the sense-organ. Thus light, pressure, or mechanical stimulation acting on the retina and optic nerve invariably produces [only] luminous impressions (p. 962).

Boring gave less credit than most authors do to Müller for “discovering” SNE, but Boring did recognize Müller for its clearer formulation. Boring considered that the prior work by the Scotsman, Charles Bell (1774-1842) and the Frenchman, François Magendie (1783-1855) in differentiating sensory and motor spinal nerves laid the groundwork for Müller’s formulation of the concept of SNE (see Boring, 1950, pp. 31-33).

Other Accomplishments by Müller

Müller’s research was so prolific that only a small sampling of his accomplishments can be mentioned here,

Physiology

As noted earlier, Müller’s dissertation dealt with locomotion in animals. He began with arthropods but eventually addressed locomotion in other classes of animals. In 1820, the Bonn Faculty of Medicine offered a prize to whoever could prove that a fetus can breathe in the womb. Müller confirmed that a prenatal lamb did breathe in its mother’s womb. Using self-experiments and self-observation,

Müller determined that optical perceptions can arise without an adequate stimulus, such as mystical visions including ghosts. It is unclear whether Müller realized that memories acquired via first- or second-hand reports might be the basis for such visions.

Embryology

Many of Müller's contributions to embryology were methodological. He published on the development and structure of glands. He discovered the embryonic duct that formed the Fallopian tubes, uterus, and vagina.

Neurophysiology and Neurology

Controversy had arisen involving Charles Bell and François Magendie regarding priority for the discovery of the distinction between spinal sensory and motor nerves, and after Magendie retracted some of his findings as his methods had been questioned, Müller entered the fray. First, he tried to do research using rabbits that yielded ambiguous results. Müller then began to use frogs, which offered the advantage that the spinal cord is easier to remove with its functions well preserved, and the relationships between the nerves were more apparent. Müller's results using frogs were unambiguous and reproducible; thus, the validity of Bell's and Magendie's findings established. Müller went on to study cranial nerves. He showed that two branches of the trigeminal nerve were sensory and the third branch was predominantly motor but with sensory fibers that provided feedback from the muscles. Any student of neuroanatomy should be familiar with the intricate sensory-motor feedback loops that prevent muscles from over-contracting, thus

detaching from the bones, yet sufficient to maintain muscle tonus. Müller also studied the glossopharyngeal, vagus, and hypoglossal nerves.

Müller's Students and the Issue of Vitalism

Brazier (1959, p. 20) described Müller as the “greatest physiologist of his time” and a “gifted teacher.” Müller had many more students than the few mentioned here: (a) Emil du Bois-Reymond was a pioneer in electrophysiology of muscle, (b) Theodore Schwann developed cell theory, (c) Rudolf Virchow was well recognized for his research on anatomical pathologies, (d) Ernst Brücke became a distinguished physiologist at the University of Vienna and was described by Sigmund Freud who studied with him for five years as his most highly respected teacher, (e) Carl Ludwig was the most distinguished physiologist at the University of Leipzig in his time where he significantly influenced Wilhelm Wundt. Ivan Pavlov studied with both Müller and Ludwig, and (f) Herman von Helmholtz. Had the Nobel Prize existed in Helmholtz's time, likely he would have qualified for four, one for his research in vision, one for his research in audition, one for his formalization of the Law of Conservation of Energy, and one for being the first to measure the speed of nerve conduction.

“Vitalism: The Best and the Worst”

This heading is the title to chapter 4 in Meulder's (2010) biography of Helmholtz. It followed chapter 3, “Johannes Müller “Man of Iron.” Most authorities agree that Müller was a vitalist throughout his career. Muelder raised some doubt about whether Müller was a vitalist at the end, but acknowledged that his students,

Helmholtz, du Bois-Reymond, Brücke, and Ludwig successfully branded Müller as a “vitalist.”

Vitalism is the belief that living matter is sustained by a vital or “life force” that cannot be reduced to chemistry and physics. Biologists today believe that it was necessary to reject vitalism to enable biological science to progress. Between them, du Bois-Reymond and Ernst Brücke made a pact to compel and establish the truth that “No other forces than common physical chemical ones are active in the organism” (Boring, 1950, p. 708). Helmholtz and Ludwig must not have been present for the pact, as they surely would have joined in.

Two of Helmholtz’s greatest accomplishments served directly to refute vitalism. First was his determination that the speed of nerve conduction was approximately 150-180 feet/second (Cahan, 2018, p. 93). Müller speculated that nerves conduct at the speed of light, and that “We shall probably never attain the power of measuring the velocity of nervous action” (Boring, 1950, p. 41). Such speculation and belief imply that nerve conduction was in the realm of the supernatural. Second was Helmholtz’s formalization of the Law of Conservation of Energy. Cahan (2018, p. 151) summarized the Law as follows:

Nature as a whole contained a store of force . . . that could be neither increased nor decreased; its total quantity was “eternal and unchangeable like the quantity of matter.”

There is an emerging parallel to vitalism that is plaguing animal cognition research today, namely, an advocacy for emergent properties. Here such advocacy

with be termed “emergentism.” Duane Rumbaugh and his colleagues have been at the forefront of such advocacy. Anonymous (2009) wrote:

Throughout his professional life, Rumbaugh has sought ways to test and understand intelligence that does not depend on the human capacity for complex language. In addition, he has sought to go beyond the two traditional methodologies: "respondents," innate, involuntary reactions to stimuli based on conditioning; and "operants," limited, voluntary actions that are designed to gain an award through operating on a particular environment. Rumbaugh has added a new methodology: "emergents," which are unusual problem-solving behaviors that are not based on repetition or associative learning, but instead seem to emerge from a kind of integrative process based on genetics, instinct, and cognitive reasoning.

See also Rumbaugh, Beran, and Pate (2003) and Rumbaugh, Savage-Rumbaugh and Washburn (1996). Belief in emergentism accepts that behavioral scientists may investigate phenomena that are not in principle reducible to physics and chemistry.

Emergentism, has its roots in the 19th century theory of “mental chemistry” advocated by the philosopher, John Stuart Mill. Interestingly, John Stuart Mill’s view was in opposition to his father’s advocacy of “mental mechanics.” In brief, John Mill believed that “the whole is equal to the sum of its parts” versus John Stuart Mill’s belief that “the whole is greater than the sum of it parts.” As “proof” of mental chemistry, J. S. Mill used water to argue that water has properties that cannot be predicted from its elemental constituents’ oxygen and hydrogen. The

“mental mechanist” would counter that when we know all the properties of hydrogen and oxygen, we will be able to account for all the properties of water.

In other words, to be a vitalist or emergentist promotes giving up and avoiding the hard work necessary to establish the physico-chemical foundations of biological and psychological phenomena. For a more detailed account of the hazards of and parallels between vitalism and emergentism, please see Thomas’s entry, “Morgan’s canon” in this *Encyclopedia*.

Cross-References

Afferent and efferent impulses

Arthropod locomotion

Energy

Helmholtz, Herman von

Laboratory research

Leipzig

Respiratory system

Sensory receptors

Vertebrate nervous system

References

Anonymous A (1911). Muller, Johannes, Peter. *Encyclopedia Britannica*.

Vol. 18 (p. 962). New York, NY: Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.

Anonymous, (2009). Rumbaugh, Duane M. *Encyclopedia.com*.

<https://www.encyclopedia.com/arts/educational-magazines/rumbaugh-duane-m-1929>

- Boring, E. G. (1950). *A history of experimental psychology: 2nd Edition*, New York, NY: Appleton-Century-Crofts, Inc.
- Brazier, M. AS. B. (1959). The historical development of neurophysiology. In J. Field (Ed.-in-Chief). *Handbook of Physiology Section 1. Neurophysiology, Volume 1* (pp. 1-58). Washington, DC: American Physiological Society.
- Cahan, D. (2018). *Helmholtz: A life in science*. Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.
- Finger, S. (1994). *Origins of neuroscience: A history of explorations into brain function*. New York: NY: Oxford University Press.
- Fulton, J. F., & Wilson, L.G. (1966) Johannes Muller: 1801-1858. *Selected readings in the history of physiology, 2nd Edition* (pp. 289-291). Springfield, IL: Charles C. Thomas, Publisher.
- Muelders, M. (2010). *Helmholtz: From enlightenment to neuroscience*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- (p. 962). New York, NY: Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.
- Rumbaugh, D. M. (2002). Emergents and rational behaviorism. *Eye on Psi Chi*, 6, 8-14.
- Rumbaugh, D., Savage-Rumbaugh, S., & Washburn, D. A. (1996). Toward a new outlook on primate learning and behavior: Complex learning and emergent processes in comparative perspective, *Japanese Psychological Research*, 30, 113-125.
- Rumbaugh, D. M; Beran, M. J;, & Pate , J. L. (2003) Uncertainty monitoring may promote emergents. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 26, 353.
- Steudel, J. (2008). Müller, Johannes Peter. *Complete Dictionary of Scientific*

Biography (pp. 567-574). Detroit, MI: Charles Scribner's Sons

Young, R. M. (1990). *Mind, brain, and adaptation in the nineteenth century*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Wight, R. D. (2000). Müller, Johannes Peter. In A. D. Kazdin (Ed.-in-Chief).

Encyclopedia of Psychology, Volume 5 (pp. 335-336). New York: NY: Oxford University Press.